

DIRECTORS PERFORMANCE EVALUATION POLICY

I. PREAMBLE:

The Company conducts its operations under the directions of Board of Directors within the framework laid down by various statutes, more particularly by the Companies Act, 2013, the Articles of Association, SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 and Code of Conduct and policies formulated by the Company for its internal execution. The Company's Board of Directors is dedicated to act in good faith; exercise their judgment on an informed basis, in the best interest of the company and its stakeholders.

Accordingly, the present policy for performance evaluation is being put into place in accordance with the requirements of section 178 of the Companies Act, 2013 which provides for a policy to be formulated and recommended to the Board, setting the criteria, based on which the performance of each and every director including the performance of the Board as a whole shall be assessed by the Board of Directors of the Company.

As one of the most important functions of the Board of Directors is to oversee the functioning of Company's top management, this Board Performance Evaluation process aims to ensure individual directors ("Directors") and the Board of Directors of the Company ("Board") as a whole to work efficiently and effectively in achieving their functions. This policy aims at establishing a procedure for conducting periodical evaluation of its own performance and of its committees and individual directors.

II. POLICY OBJECTIVES:

The Board as a whole shall discuss and analyze its own performance atleast once in a Financial Year together with suggestions for improvement thereon, pursuant to the performance objectives.

III. DEFINITIONS:

"Act" means the Companies Act, 2013 and any amendments or modifications thereof.

"Company" means Lahoti Overseas Limited.

"Director or Board" means and deemed to include the collective body of the Board of Directors of the Company including the Chairman of the Company.

"Independent Director" means an Independent Director as defined under section 2 (47) to be read with section 149 (6) of the Act.

"Policy" means the Policy for evaluation of performance of Board of Directors of the Company.



"Committee" means the Nomination and Remuneration Committee ("NRC") of the Board of Directors formed under the provisions of Section 178 of Companies Act, 2013.

IV. RESPONSIBILITY:

1. Responsibility of the Nomination & Remuneration Committee:

As per Section 178 of the Companies Act, 2013, the Nomination & Remuneration Committee shall specify the manner for effective evaluation of performance of Board, its committees and individual directors to be carried out either by the Board, by the Nomination and Remuneration Committee or by an independent external agency and review its implementation and compliance. Accordingly, the Nomination & Remuneration Committee has decided that the evaluation shall be carried out by Board of the entire Board, its committees and individual directors.

2. Responsibility of the Board:

As per Section 178 of the Companies Act, 2013 the Nomination & Remuneration Committee, it is decided by the Nomination & Remuneration Committee that evaluation of performance of Board, its committees and individual directors shall be carried out by the Board.

Further as per Schedule IV of the Companies Act, 2013, the performance evaluation of independent directors shall be done by the entire Board of Directors, excluding the director being evaluated.

It shall be the duty of the chairperson of the board, who shall be supported by a Company Secretary to organise the evaluation process and accordingly conclude the steps required to be taken. The evaluation process will be used constructively as a system to improve the directors' and committees' effectiveness, to maximise their strength and to tackle their shortcomings.

The Board of Directors shall undertake the following activities atleast once in a Financial Year:

- a. The board as a whole shall discuss and analyse its own performance during the year together with suggestions for improvement thereon, pursuant to the performance objectives.
- b. Review performance of various committees along with their suggestions on improving the effectiveness of the committee. Also, the requirement of establishing any new committees shall be reviewed by the Board on an annual basis.
- c. Review the performance of the chairperson.
- d. Review various strategies of the company and accordingly set the performance objectives for directors.



e. Ensure that adequate disclosure is made with regard to performance evaluation in the Board's Report.

3. Responsibility of Independent Directors:

Independent Directors are duty bound to evaluate the performance of non-independent directors and Board as a whole. The independent directors of the Company shall hold at least one meeting in a year to review the performance of non-independent directors, performance of the chairperson of the Company and Board as a whole, taking into account the views of executive directors and nonexecutive directors. The independent directors at their separate meetings shall:

- a. Review the performance of non-independent directors and the Board as a whole;
- b. Review the performance of the Chairperson of the company, taking into account the views of executive directors and non-executive directors;
- c. Assess the quality, quantity and timeliness of flow of information between the company management and the Board that is necessary for the Board to effectively and reasonably perform their duties.

V. EVALUATION PROCESS:

- 1. The Nomination and Remuneration Committee ("NRC") shall specify the manner for effective evaluation and review its implementation and compliance.
- 2. The evaluation by Board of the Board as a whole, Directors and Committees shall be carried out based on the questionnaire and feedback form which forms part as Annexure to this Policy.

3. Rating Scale:

Scale	Performance	
5	Very Good	
4	Good	
3	Satisfactory	
2	Needs improvement	
1	Unacceptable	

4. Evaluation of Independent Director shall be carried on by the entire Board of Directors of the Company except the Director getting evaluated.



5. While evaluating the performance of the Chairman, the Board shall always consider the appropriate benchmarks set as per industry standards, the performance of the individual and also of the Company.

VI. POLICY REVIEW:

The Nomination and Remuneration Committee may amend the Policy, if required, to ascertain its appropriateness as per the needs of the Company. The Policy may be amended by passing a resolution in a meeting of the Committee.

VII. DISCLOSURE:

In accordance with the requirement as per Section 134 (3) or any other applicable section of the Companies Act, 2013, Rules framed thereunder or amendments or modifications thereof, disclosures will be made in the Board Report regarding the manner in which the performance evaluation has been done by the Board of Directors of its own performance, performance of various Committees of Directors and individual Directors. The Company shall disclose the evaluation criteria in its Annual Report. The Policy will be available in the public domain i.e. on the website of the Company.



Annexure A

Lahoti Overseas Limited - Board Evaluation

Lahoti Overseas Limited believes in value for its shareholders through ethical processes & integrity. The board plays a very important role in ensuring that the company performance is monitored and timely inputs are given to enhance its performance and set the right direction for profitable growth fully complying with relevant regulation requirements.

As a board member, we request you to rate your experience on the following - (1 being lowest and 5 being highest) –

Sr. No	Assessment Criteria	Rating	Remarks
1	The Board of Directors of the Company is effective in decision making.		
2	The Board of Directors is effective in developing a corporate governance structure that allows and encourages the Board to fulfil its responsibilities.		
3	The Company's systems of control are effective for identifying material risks and reporting material violations of policies and law.		
4	The Board reviews the organization's performance in carrying out the stated mission on a regular basis.		
5	The Board of Directors is effective in providing necessary advice and suggestions to the Company's management		
6	Is the Board as a whole up to date with latest developments in the regulatory environment and the market?		
7	The information provided to Directors prior to Board Meetings meets your expectations in terms of length and level of detail.		
8	Board meetings are conducted in a manner that encourages open communication, meaningful participation, and timely resolution of issues.		
9	The Board Chairman effectively and appropriately leads and facilitates the Board meetings and the policy and governance work of the Board.		
10	The Board appropriately considers internal audit reports, management's responses, and steps towards improvement		
11	The Board oversees the role of the Independent Auditor from selection to termination and has an		



	effective process to evaluate the Independent
	Auditor's qualifications and performance.
12	The Board considers the Independent Audit plan and
	provides recommendations

provides recommendations	
Overall Feedback to improve the effectiven	ess of Board:
Name:	
Designation:	
DIN:	



Annexure B

Lahoti Overseas Limited – Board Member Feedback

Lahoti Overseas Limited (the Company) believes in value for its shareholders through ethical processes & integrity. The board plays a very important role in ensuring that the company performance is monitored and timely inputs are given to enhance its performance and set the right direction for growth. Hence it is important that every individual Board Member effectively contributes in the Board deliberations.

Kindly rate the recipient on the scale of 1 to 5 (1 being least effective and 5 being highly effective) –

		·	·		
Sr.	Assessment Criteria	Rating	Rating	Rating	Remarks
No					
•					
_					
	lback Recipient's Name				
1	professional qualification				
2	Participation and				
	attendance in Board and				
	Committee meetings				
	actively and consistently				
3	Prepares adequately for				
	Board and Committee				
	meetings				
4	Contributes to strategy and				
	other areas impacting				
	Company's Performance				
5	Brings his/her experience				
	and credibility to bear on				
	the critical areas of				
	performance of the				
	organisation				
6	Keeps updated knowledge				
	of his/her areas of expertise				
7	and other important areas Fulfilment of functions				
/					
	assigned by the Board and laws as may be applicable				
8	Active initiation				
9	Communicates in open and				
9	constructive manner				
10	Gives fair chance to other				
10	members to contribute,				
	members to continuate,				



	participate actively in			
	discussions and is consensus			
	oriented			
11	Helps to create brand image			
	of the Company and helps			
	the Company wherever			
	possible to resolve issues, if			
	any			
12	Actively contributes			
	towards positive growth of			
	the Company			
13	Commitment to Board and			
	its meetings			
14	Conduct himself/ herself in a			
- '	manner that is ethical and			
	consistent with the laws of			
	the land.			
Δddi	tional criteria for Independent	t director:		
15	Independence of the	t director.		
13	director from the entity and			
	other directors			
16				
10	Exercise of his/ her own			
	judgement and voices			
ام ما ما	opinion freely			
	tional criteria for Chairperson			
17	Effectiveness of leadership			
	and ability to steer the			
	meetings			
18	Impartial in conducting			
	discussions, seeking views			
	and dealing with dissent etc.			
19	Ability to keep shareholders'			
	interests in mind			
Over	all Feedback to improve effect	iveness furth	er:	

Name:
Designation:
DIN:



Annexure C

Lahoti Overseas Limited – Committee Evaluation

The Board has constituted the Audit Committee, Nomination and Remuneration Committee, Stakeholders Relationship Committee; and Corporate Social Responsibility Committee.

For evaluating the performance of each Committee, Kindly rate the Committee on the scale of 1 to 5 (1 being least effective and 5 being highly effective) –:

Sr. No.	Audit Committee	Rating	Remarks
1	Mandate, composition and working procedures of committee		
2	Fulfilment of functions assigned by the Board and laws as may be applicable		
3	Timely meetings of the committee		
4	Contribution of recommendations of Committee to decisions of the Board		
5	Timely inputs on the Minutes of the Meetings		

Sr.	Nomination and Remuneration Committee		Remarks
No.			
1	Mandate, composition and working procedures of		
	committee		
2	Fulfilment of functions assigned by the Board and laws as		
	may be applicable		
3	Timely meetings of the committee		
4	Contribution of recommendations of Committee to		
	decisions of the Board		
5	Timely inputs on the Minutes of the Meetings		_

Sr.	Stakeholders Relationship Committee		Remarks
No.			
1	Mandate, composition and working procedures of committee		
2	Fulfilment of functions assigned by the Board and laws as may be applicable		
3	Timely meetings of the committee		
4	Contribution of recommendations of Committee to decisions of the Board		
5	Timely inputs on the Minutes of the Meetings		



Sr. No.	Corporate Social Responsibility Committee	Rating	Remarks
1	Mandate, composition and working procedures of committee		
2	Fulfilment of functions assigned by the Board and laws as may be applicable		
3	Timely meetings of the committee		
4	Contribution of recommendations of Committee to decisions of the Board		
5	Timely inputs on the Minutes of the Meetings		

Overall Feedback to improve effectiveness of the Committee further:	
Name:	
Designation:	
DIN:	